

Iteration 11

Date: 12 Feb 2015

Time: 10am to 1045am

Venue: Prof Ben's office

Attendees: Brindha, Gui Shi, Hakam, Hye Ri, Max and Shi Kai

Absentees: -

Supervisor meeting agenda:

To be discussed / brought up	Remarks / Comments
Go through Mid-term presentation	

Discussed during meeting:

- Check if names of group members can be seen clearly through projector
- Include "Graph Paper" in Project description as there is no flexibility to remove Graph Paper from Marry.SG
- Using excel to explain Graph Paper was good idea
- While Brindha explains about Graph Paper, one person can show Graph Paper
- Aiming 5000 number of users is not realistic
 - > 5000 is 20% of the wedding population per year. Usually should aim 1 or 2% of the expected population
- Value Proposition/description of Graph Paper: Mention that end user of Graph Paper is customer, not developer as the company is the only one that develop functions
- Will working with Marketing students be helpful? Yes, as they will give different perspectives
- Business Model
 - > Chris' point of view in the similar : Charge users first, if people are already willing to pay, it will be easier to monetize
 - > Chris might ask about the monetize issue, take his comments
 - > Consider the business model again in this view
- Explain what is "Pay per canvas" -> Prof did not get what it means at one glance, need to explain in detail as it is quite high level
- Business Model should be named. For example, second model as "Vendors"
- Demonstration
 - > Get a scenario for presentation. At least write it down
 - > Ensure to pace ourselves
 - > Cannot realize races under Discover card, same comment as we received from user testing
 - > Ensure functions are something wedding couples will definitely use. Focus on functionality
 - > Remember that Graph Paper is supposed to be easy to use

- Technology complexity should not be included in Project Management as it would be difficult to explain in that way
- Explain why there were changes made in schedule
- Highlight all iterations, which the functions were not complete in time. This will give idea whether our project management improved
- Scopes can be changed while using time boxing, using time boxing still means that scopes can be updated
- Prof suggested to remove Mobile responsiveness. There is no mobile-responsive app that is used daily. For IDP is because of prototyping, FYP is for real use, hence should exclude Mobile Responsiveness. It will be more of solution for Big Max, which we do not have the time. Probably can put under appendix
- Putting Debugging might make reviewers feel that we have not done debugging properly
- After Mid-term, work on X-Factor (get certain number of users, planners and vendors, additional functionality after user testing, additional validation after user testing)
- At introduction, explain clearly what everyone does, remove Scope slide
- Exclude Assignment of tasks
- Show the actual work done by everyone (user test results, design etc)
- Explain what Google Polymer is (open source for developers to use for animation, however currently dropped for it being unstable)
- Possible risks suggested: Something that causes issues with systems, prohibits X-factor being done. For example: New competitors, not being able to get couples
- Remember to show changes made in cards
- Do not exceed presentation time
- Do not be afraid to show technical details, ensure that it has the flow, guide through the reviewers
- Include functional verification for first user testing as well
- Using functionality with ease falls under look and feel of the application
- Provide solution for high learning curve
 - > User tutorial, overcast to reduce the amount of time users take when they use the application for the first time
- Focus on user testing and X-factor after mid-term to get a proper business model and work on it
- Work on X-factor as 5000 seems impossible
- Technical complexity is lacking, from a glance, as Graph Paper is supposed to be easy, gives impression that Graph Paper is easy to develop. Explain this well, complexity of learning Meteor, value adding to Graph Paper via animation, making the application to easy to use
- Give a packaged service as we are doing wedding application (design, function etc)
- Our strength could be that we work together
- Show planning of the further user testing, and how we will achieve X-factor
- Show what we are planning to do
- Show plans after IS 480 (if we have)
 - > Global (South East Asia) market, explain in the ethnical issue
- Include development process? Yes, we can, but we may not have time to show

Action Items:

#	Task	Assigned	Due date
1	Try to place Architecture diagram together with technologies stated		25 Feb 2015
2	Show which are the implemented cards while explaining cards developed before and after acceptance		25 Feb 2015
3	Add "Average number per year" in Value proposition slide		25 Feb 2015
4	Name Business Models		25 Feb 2015
5	Change photos in the application to our own pictures		25 Feb 2015
6	Combine technology complexities with demonstration		25 Feb 2015
7	Use the pie chart as a clock, make it look like a timeline		25 Feb 2015
8	In Schedule Overview, mention how many/what cards were done in which period		25 Feb 2015
9	Change Coding Stuff and Non-Coding Stuff to something formal		25 Feb 2015
10	Exclude Mobile responsiveness under After Midterms slide		25 Feb 2015
11	Exclude Debugging		25 Feb 2015
12	Combine Scope slide with Timelines		25 Feb 2015
13	Exclude Assigned tasks, shift to the front		25 Feb 2015
14	Remove Risk slide, and change "Bottlenecks" to "Challenges", exclude operational risks		25 Feb 2015
15	Exclude non-functional requirements slide		25 Feb 2015
16	Include timeline of user tests (when it was done) - for Mid-term focus on the 10 students user test		25 Feb 2015

Minutes recorded by:
Seol Hye Ri