

Date:	10th Jan 2014
Time:	4:00 PM – 4:45 PM
Venue:	Prof Ben's Office
Attendees:	Team: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eng Sen • Yousof • Shanaaz • Glen • Fariq • Wah Chun Supervisor: Prof Ben
Meeting discussion:	Agenda: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Demo: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purpose Driven • MB Coaching • Tournament - Component Diagram - Client Requirements - Functions to be completed by next Supervisor meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MB Coaching • Purpose Driven Updated Prof Ben on <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -December Happenings -spoke to client before 11 dec and on 8 jan -client re-prioritized -prof ben highlighted sponsor created new metrics -all new users signed up after this time is due to our new functions -prof ben - client has KPI and willing to negotiate based on his KPI this is a change requirement, genshyft has explained the situation -yousof replied - we will stick with the current scope

-prof ben - did we go into details on how to collect info from new users

-yousof - IDA involvement unknown, client will still go ahead even without them

-prof ben asked what did we tell him

-yousof - explained about the tournament as well as director of rp coming down

-prof ben - KPI user && tournament related

-glen - explained that the existing codes were buggy, even the live website is buggy. Data, require live server to provide information. Local testing don't work. Client say can, when we move to staging, it works.

-yousof - we added more days , revised schedule recently, extended debugging time, could be debugging for previous problems.

-prof ben - solving effects but not root of the problem, difference in staging and development. asked about the turnaround

-prof ben asked if we are on time, based on the acceptance

-yousof - we rescheduled

-prof ben - said that we should be direct and say that we rescheduled and not on time, asked what did we change/update

NEXT TIME MUST SAY IF WE ARE ON TIME, CANNOT KEEP CHANGING OR RESCHEDULING

Prof ben- if we log out and come back, should be able to show that the videos have been unlocked

Yousof - explained that there were technical constraints due to the unique approach in deployment

Prof ben - what does master lvl 1 mean, is there any dependency on the mastery coaching, any customized messages based on the user

Glen - based on time, if we do better then more

	<p>encouragement</p> <p>Prof ben - you are the change agent, we can recommend something else "hey look at this app, we can do this" wants to see more, have we scheduled a time to meet for the database</p> <p>Eng sen - for the tournament, included mock data, static pages right now</p> <p>Prof ben - where are the photos?</p> <p>Glen - followed the paper prototype</p> <p>Prof ben - can we join the group? can use json data to join the group do we list or do we collapse, like a big waste of space, this is one static html page, static pages, where's the dynamic portion!? Now is the 10 jan, we have 3 weeks, are we confident to get it up by tournament. If we are not ready, we are setting us up for failure.</p> <p>Yousof - schedule meeting with client, who will give us access when we are ready</p> <p>Prof ben - we cannot keep waiting.</p> <p>1) Schedule testing on UAT</p> <p>2) 3 weeks before the iteration ends, then 15 days/12 days to test it before going in for the tournament</p> <p>3) Who's going to be doing the test plan - wahchun</p> <p>Prof ben - how do we feel about the recent tournaments</p>
--	---

Prepared by,

Wah Chun