Time: 3.30pm – 4.30pm

Location: SIS MR4-6

Attended By: Janice, Siong Min, Hui Shia, Prof Kar Way, Ms Michelle,

Mr Andrew

Absent with Apologies: Nil

No	Agenda:	Action By:
1	Andrew's comment: the correlation might be coincidental than causal effect. Prof KW mentioned that one of the challenges is that it provides a very high level view. For eg, 2013, given the population and GDP, combining yearly data and monthly data, or in other words, aggregating data, will not be good as it probably only results in a few data points.	
2	In conclusion, data point and correlation might not be that good of an idea.	
2	There are many indicators – which one we choosing? Pollution? Events driven or? KW would like to see more data from others. SMU has OECD data – check if it's relevant or not.	
3	Analyse the UN list and find what is really suitable.	
4	Previous seniors did a project on regression on GDP and population. Slightly more in-depth analysis than correlation analysis \rightarrow consider other statistical methods such as statistical inference, machine learning etc.	
6	KW's main interest is the insights on why Europe has the most number of sustainability jobs. What's the reason behind it? Education? Culture? Met their basic necessities?	
7	Continent > Countries → not sure if it is too aggregated.	
9	KW emphasizes more on insights than visualization!!!	
10	Tweets: Can consider going by topic since region isn't possible.	
11	Jobs' fields: Id, country, data, jobs. Within "jobs",, there are 11 fields. Andrew will provide the team with access to the database.	

Prepared by: Janice Koh