## Singapore Management University ANLY482 Analytics Practicum

## Supervisor Minutes 3 as on 6<sup>th</sup> February 2017

| Time Start:  | 2.35pm               |
|--------------|----------------------|
| Time End:    | 3.40pm               |
| Location:    | SIS Meeting Room 4-6 |
| Recorded by: | Heng Kok Chin        |
| Vetted By:   | Peh Zhan Hao         |

## Attendees:

| Attendees.            |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Dr Kam Tin Seong      | Associate Professor of Information Systems (Practice) |
| Heng Kok Chin         | Undergraduate, Singapore Management University        |
| Peh Zhan Hao          | Undergraduate, Singapore Management University        |
| Tan Yong Kiong, Alson | Undergraduate, Singapore Management University        |
|                       |   |

## Agenda

- 1. Update Supervisor Regarding Our Dataset
- 2. Supervisor's Advice
- 3. Administrative Matters
- 4. Set Agenda for Next Meeting

| No. | Discussion:   | Action by: | Deadline:                            |
|-----|---|------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1   | Update Supervisor Regarding Our Dataset   |            |                                      |
|     | <ul> <li>Prof. Kam mentioned that some schools stream their Secondary 1<br/>students based on PSLE results and their Secondary 2 students based<br/>on Secondary 1 results</li> <li>He suggested that we check with our sponsor on how they stream<br/>their Secondary 1 students</li> </ul>  | Edufy      | 13 <sup>th</sup><br>February<br>2017 |
|     | <ul> <li>The team excluded the results for other subjects and focus mainly on the Math and Science results as the school gave us the criteria that is taken account into when they stream students</li> <li>Prof. Kam mentioned that it is not a wise idea to exclude the data without first exploring them. Some students are being dragged down by other subjects (e.g. foreign students who are forced to take Mother Tongue like Mandarin)</li> <li>We should confirm that the school's current streaming system and criteria is either working well or there is some loop hole; we don't want to assume that their system is working well</li> <li>English must be included because it is compulsory and an important subject</li> <li>Zhan Hao asked Prof. Kam on how to quantify the letter grades results for PSLE and 'O' Levels?</li> <li>Prof. Kam explained that the PSLE and 'O' Levels letter grade results is what we call independent variables (these are all categorical results) and we can make it as a dummy variable</li> </ul> |            |                                      |

| We can also use Latent Class Analysis (with JMP P  | ro) to analyze it      |                  |
|--|------------------------|------------------|
| • Make use of the L1R4 and L1R5 to know the over   | all performance        |                  |
| (those who get 4 or 6 is definitely better than the  | se who get 8)          |                  |
|  | se who get 8)          |                  |
| • By right, we should also exclude CCA score (becau  | ise it minus from      |                  |
| L1R4 or L1R5) and use only the raw academic sco  | re for 'O' Levels      |                  |
|  |                        |                  |
| <ul> <li>For our missing data in Secondary 4 CA1/CA2, we</li> </ul>  | need to confirm Edufy  | 13 <sup>th</sup> |
| whether it is due to Prelims or what   |                        | February         |
| whether it is due to realitis of what  |                        | 2017             |
|  |                        | 2017             |
| Our data structure is not considered correct in Ta   | bleau, the second      |                  |
| row should be the first row to have the correct he   | eader                  |                  |
| <ul> <li>Need to tidy up, reorganize the data by rename the</li> </ul>   | ne columns             |                  |
| (PSLE_SC_PSLE_MA etc.) and decide what kind of   | data structure we      |                  |
| want to adopt  |                        |                  |
|  |                        |                  |
| End result of the data should all be in one sheet  |                        |                  |
| <ul> <li>If we append it down and make it long with many</li> </ul>  | rows, it is easier to  |                  |
| compare column by column   |                        |                  |
| • If we need to compare horizontally, retain it the c  | current way (can       |                  |
| compare across)  |                        |                  |
|  |                        |                  |
| 7 have the early of that if a student newformed well i   | in CA1 and CA2 is      |                  |
| Zhan Hao asked that if a student performed well i  |                        |                  |
| very hard and the student didn't do well and affe  | ct his score, should   |                  |
| we take into account the percentile or the overall   | score change?          |                  |
| Prof. Kam replied that in general, some school do  | moderation, some       |                  |
| school report back the actual score, we need to ta   | ake a look at it       |                  |
| Certain year if they are setting tougher questions   | then the score will    |                  |
| shift over but yought the UOD will ensure moder  | ation                  |                  |
| Shift over but usually the HOD will ensure modera  |                        |                  |
| We should think from the analysis perspective, we  | e need to clean the    |                  |
| data and finalize the data structure   |                        |                  |
|  |                        |                  |
| <ul> <li>Let's say Secondary 1 and Secondary 2 each have</li> </ul>  | five classes, is there |                  |
| any significant difference between their performa  | ance?                  |                  |
| • If there is significant performance issue, then the  | re is problem and we   |                  |
| need to find out first   |                        |                  |
| <ul> <li>Some classes will perform better and some will perform better</li></ul> | at perform so well     |                  |
| • Some classes will perform better and some will the   | 2                      |                  |
| How well can we compare now well their model is  | S?                     |                  |
|  |                        |                  |
| <ul> <li>Based on their current system, we can use the sch</li> </ul>  | 100l examination       |                  |
| results as a respond variable to help us do the sep  | paration               |                  |
| • We are looking at how they stream their students   | 5                      |                  |
| • We take the sec 2 results as the response variable   | then use the           |                  |
| different SA/CA results as separation  |                        |                  |
| unreferit SAYCA results as separation  |                        |                  |
| • First words a gradiative model (shorten and state)   | n the combinetion of   |                  |
| • First we do a predictive model (cluster analysis) o  | n the combination of   |                  |
| results that they have   |                        |                  |
| <ul> <li>Separation, from what score to what score go wh</li> </ul>  | ich combination        |                  |
| • Based on that separation, we compare the 'O' Lev   | vels performance       |                  |
| • For PSLE, need to monitor the English (it can mea  | n something to the     |                  |
| students' progress) there are two extremes do h  | adly in English but    |                  |
| other subjects pulling or do well in Eaglish but at  | aury in Linghon but    |                  |
| OTHER SUDJECTS DUILIN OF DO WEILIN ENGLISH NUT OTH   | aer sublects alan t    | 1                |

| - |   |       |                   |
|---|---|-------|-------------------|
|   | do so well  |       |                   |
|   | • For the categorical variable (like the letter grades A. B. C), we CANNOT  |       |                   |
|   | assign a median score   |       |                   |
|   | <ul> <li>Do the <u>Latent Class Analysis</u> to see what kind of combination they</li> </ul>  |       |                   |
|   | have, segment the students based on their PSLE  |       |                   |
|   | • The DSLE score is waird (by right should be transferred, should be  |       |                   |
|   | • The PSLE score is well (by right should be transferred, should be complete and correct) what is the possibility that this kind of error |       |                   |
|   | happen  |       |                   |
|   | The team should quickly clean and run some statistical analysis and   |       |                   |
|   | see if there are any discrepancies  |       |                   |
| 2 | Supervisor's Advice   | 1     |                   |
|   | <ul> <li>When we perform the EDA, we want to examine the distribution; do a<br/>histogram and hexplot to see the distribution</li> </ul>  |       |                   |
|   |   |       |                   |
|   | • Tableau is good for quick view but not good for in-depth statistics, the  |       |                   |
|   | team should look into using JMP Pro   |       |                   |
|   |   |       |                   |
|   | • For example, first of all, look at PSLE, assume we look at the overall  |       |                   |
|   | into their classes when they first get into Secondary 1   |       |                   |
|   | <ul> <li>If they stream already, then the histogram should be curved to the</li> </ul>  |       |                   |
|   | left, already sorted by score. What we want to compare is whether   |       |                   |
|   | this is a good way to prepare them for the streaming for Secondary 3  |       |                   |
|   | • Do the same for the Secondary 1 to Secondary 2 results, how it leads  |       |                   |
|   | into their Secondary 3 streaming  |       |                   |
|   | <ul> <li>Each subject and each assessment need to have a boxplot</li> </ul>   |       |                   |
|   | <ul> <li>Determine that they are consistent and get a good view on how the</li> </ul>   |       |                   |
|   | grades are being used   |       |                   |
| 3 | Administrative Matters  |       |                   |
|   | Interim presentation is in Week 8   |       |                   |
|   | • By then, we should have the dataset nicely cleaned, finished EDA  |       |                   |
|   | We should focus on one batch of students only if there is time  |       |                   |
|   | Imilation It is best that we meet with sponsor and get their feedback before  |       |                   |
|   | interim presentation  |       |                   |
|   |   |       |                   |
|   | <ul> <li>NDA is now signed by Prof. Kam and the team members</li> </ul>   |       | a eth             |
|   | <ul> <li>Get it sign by the sponsor</li> </ul>  | Eduty | 13'''<br>February |
|   | • If we require Tableau, we can apply for the educational licence using   |       | 2017              |
|   | • If we require rableau, we can apply for the educational license using our SMU credentials   |       |                   |
| 4 | Set Agenda for Next Meeting   |       | L                 |
|   | 1. Finalize data structure  |       |                   |
|   | 2. Plan timeline to prepare for interim   |       |                   |
|   | - Hope to meet sponsor at least twice before interim (one time to get   |       |                   |
|   | all the missing data from them and another time to present to them  |       |                   |
| 1 |   | 1     | 1                 |

| 3. Seek Prof. Kam's advice before presenting findings to sponsor |  |
|--|--|