

### **Feedback on Paper**

- Our paper focuses on Interactive exploration, so we must focus on the Tools & Methods used. E.g. why JMP & Tableau are different, go beyond the generic explanation in our paper.
- What learning experience did we have while using JMP & Tableau? We can provide recommendations based on this experience
- Elaborate on the limitations of each software for different purposes/roles. E.g. Tableau is better for dashboards and reporting for Business Intelligence.

### **Prediction**

- Presence of Biased variables means that we should regroup into a new binary variable (i.e. non-Jap *VehMake* and Jap *VehMake*; *Leasing Channels VS Non-leasing Channels*)
- Training set should be set to 60% instead of Validation set 60%
- Variables in the Effect Test can be seen as significant (p-value bolded with \*)
- Regression is not recommended on the current dataset with the variables available. Maybe SVM and Neural Network might give better results

### **Recommendations for Prediction**

- Some variables/data are lacking, like Level of Damage, or Detailed Accident Report, General Cost of Repair (e.g. bumper hit) → cannot accurately predict Claims
- Would recommend for client to include these data for future prediction