

Time: 4pm – 5.15pm

Location: Prof Seema's Office

Attended By: Janice, Siong Min

Absent with Apologies: Hui Shia

No	Agenda:	Action By:
1	Prof Seema's point of view: The first crawled data (sustainability jobs) is mainly for "FYI". More analysis will come from the Twitter data. Can attempt to link both of the data in the future.	
2	Seema mentioned that the tweets are not able to be distinguished based on region. We can probably explore the popular topics being discussed instead.	
3	Trend about sustainability jobs (as written in Kar Way's objectives / q1) – somehow shown in the dashboard already.	
4	Ask from the Sponsor for the "Fields" for both data sets.	
5	Check out UN website for stats / indicators. Based on Kar Way's comment on the proposal: find out the metrics for macro indicators. For eg, in Singapore case, we are less focused on green issues. Why is it? Maybe overall we have lesser jobs, so for sustainability jobs there are lesser. Or, it could be due to GDP(already mentioned)? Literacy?	
6	Check out UN Environmental Indicators. There could be a story that links these indicators to the dashboard. It might explain why in the case of Europe there are more. Eg. Countries with higher index / positive stats for these aspects have more sustainability jobs than the rest.	
7	Proposal: try to structure out what we want to do, our approaches.	
8	KW mentioned about policies: we have to spell it out that we will be looking for indicators and policies of different countries, and then link it up to sustainability jobs. See if there are any links? Check out the data for these indicators as well.	
9	Hypothesis: indicators will help us explain the trend Question is whether do we want another dashboard to show? Or are we gonna add on? In our dashboard, don't leave it open ended like theirs (Haha). Think of things that we are highlighting as insights.	
10	Kar Way mentioned about finding the most important measure. However, how do we define what is important?	

Prepared by: Janice Koh